

## Polyflor Australia Fire Certificates for NCC 2022 Specification 7 C3 Compliance

Polyflors' products are manufactured and tested in the UK.

Polyflor has gone to great lengths to have the UK laboratory, Shirley Technologies Limited, Wira House BCTC, UKAS accredited to perform the fire test required by the Australian NCC, AS ISO 9239.1-2003.

In the Schedule 1 Definitions section of the NCC 2022, an Accredited Testing Laboratory means— (a) an organisation accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) to undertake the relevant tests; or

(b) an organisation outside Australia accredited to undertake the relevant tests by an authority recognised by NATA through a mutual recognition agreement;

Polyflors' test certificates comply with definition (b). NATA is a signatory of ILAC (International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation), a Mutual Recognition Program where international accreditation programs, like NATA, are recognised as similar acceptable quality standards.

UKAS is also a signatory and results obtained by a UKAS certified lab are recognised by NATA under this ILAC-MRA agreement.

The laboratory Polyflor uses, BCTC, is UKAS certified to perform AS ISO 9239.1-2003. This compliance is available via their website and is also stamped on the report.

Regarding terminology, in the definitions section of the NCC, *Critical radiant flux means the* <u>critical heat</u> <u>flux</u> at extinguishment as determined by AS ISO 9239.1.

The *smoke development rate* as required under Specification 7 Clause 3 is determined from the AS ISO 9239.1 test method and is by measurement of the smoke obscuration over time. This is expressed as Smoke Obscuration % x minutes.

The AS ISO test method has been copied from International Standards and hence the reason why the difference in terminology to the NCC.

The supplied fire certificate is acceptable in Australia as it is the Australian test performed by a NATA recognised certified laboratory for compliance to NCC 2022 S7C3.



# **Confidential Report**

# Our Ref: 26/02781H-Supp/10/20



Notified Body for PPE Directive, Construction Products Regulation & Marine Equipment Directive I.D. No. 0338 & 0339



Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK. Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: info@bttg.co.uk : www.bttg.co.uk

> Date: 02 December 2020

Our Ref: 26/02781H-Supp/10/20 Your Ref:

			Page:	1 of 4	
Client:	Polyflor Limited				
	Radcliffe New Road Whitefield Manchester M45 7NR				
Job Title:	Fire Test on One Samp	le of Flooring			
Clients Order Ref:	2251096				
Date of Receipt:	30 October 2020				
Description of Sample:	One sample of flooring Product Name: Nominal Thickness: Weight Per Unit Area: Batch Number: Shade:	XLPU 2.0mm			
Work Requested:		e the following test(s): ry report to the one issue ence 26/02781H/10/20.	d on the 16 <sup>th</sup> Novembe	er 2020	
	*** not UKAS accredited	KAS accredited N ISO/IEC 17025 accredited 2: This report relates only to the sample	as submitted and as described in t	the report	



Copyright © 2020 Shirley Technologies Limited. All rights reserved.

Our laboratories are accredited to EN ISO/IEC 17025.

1066



Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK. Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: <u>info@bttg.co.uk</u> : <u>www.bttg.co.uk</u>

Date: 02 December 2020

Our Ref: 26/02781H-Supp/10/20 Your Ref: ----

Page:

2 of 4

Client:

**Polyflor Limited** 

### FIRE TESTS ACCORDING TO AS ISO 9239-1:2003 Reaction to fire tests for Floorings - Part 1: Determination of the burning behaviour using a radiant heat source (ISO 9239-1:2002)

Date of Test: 13/11/2020

### Conditioning

The specimens were conditioned in accordance with BS EN 13238:2010. The substrate used was a fibre cement board (ISO 390) with a thickness of (6±1)mm and a density of (1,800±200) Kg/m<sup>3</sup> representing the standard substrate of Class A1fl or A2fl.

#### **Mounting Method**

The specimens of floor covering were tested adhered to a 6mm fibre cement board , as defined in BS EN 13238:2010 using Styccobond F44 adhesive.

#### Procedure

The test was carried out in accordance with AS ISO 9239-1:2003. The sponsor sampled and cut the specimens to the dimensions stated.

Specimens were individually placed in the combustion chamber and allowed to preheat for two minutes under a radiant panel, which gives an imposed radiant flux ranging from approximately 11.0 kW/m<sup>2</sup> to 1.0 kW/m<sup>2</sup> along the specimen.

The pilot flame used was the line burner as described and was applied to the surface of the specimen for 10 minutes and then removed.

The flame front was measured at the end of the test or at 30 minutes if applicable.

Test termination was considered to be when the flame front self extinguished or at 30 minutes, which ever is the sooner.

The heat flux from the panel incident on the specimen when self extinguished or at 30 minutes (critical heat flux CHF or HF-30) was calculated from a prior calibration.





Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK. Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999 Email: <u>info@bttg.co.uk</u> : <u>www.bttg.co.uk</u>

Date: 02 December 2020

Our Ref: 26/02781H-Supp/10/20 Your Ref: ----

Page:

3 of 4

#### Client:

#### **Polyflor Limited**

#### Results

The test results relate to the behaviour of the test specimens of a material under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the full potential fire hazard of the materials in use.

<u>Specimen</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Direction</u> of spec.		scuration/ pment	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Flame front</u>	<u>Heat Flux-30</u> (HF-30)	<u>Critical</u> <u>Heat/Radiant</u>	<u>Duration of</u> <u>Flaming (sec)</u>
		<u>Max %</u>	<u>% x min</u>	<u>(mm)</u>	<u>(kW/m²)</u>	<u>Flux</u> (CHF/CRF) (kW/m²)	
1	Machine	23	84	70	>10.8	>10.8	722
2	Across	29	73	65	>10.8	>10.8	735
3	Machine	22	85	60	>10.8	>10.8	747
4	Machine	23	73	55	>10.8	>10.8	740
Mean of 3 specs.	Machine	23	81	62	>10.8	>10.8	736

<u>Distance</u>		Time for each specimen to burn (s)		
<u>Burnt (mm)</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
50	540	300	550	330

#### Note

One specimen was initially tested in each direction and whichever direction gave the worst result a further two specimens were tested. Only the results of the 3 specimens in the same direction were used to calculate the mean results.



Shirley® Technologies Limited. Registered Office: Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL. A company registered in England & Wales with company number 04669651. VAT Number GB 816764800. BTTG™ and Shirley® are trade names of Shirley Technologies Ltd. The supply of all goods and services is subject to our standard terms of business, copies of which are available on request. Our laboratories are accredited to EN ISO/IEC 17025.

	Y		Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK. +44 (0) 113 259 1999 nail: <u>info@bttg.co.uk</u> : <u>www.bttg.co.uk</u>	
BTTG		Date:	02 December 2020	
TESTING • CERTIFICATION • AUDITING		Our Ref: 26 Your Ref:	Our Ref: 26/02781H-Supp/10/20 Your Ref:	
		Page:	4 of 4	
Client:	Polyflor Limited			
Reported by:	eh.	B Marsden (Mrs), Senior Fire Tech	nician	
Countersigned by:		P Doherty, Executive Manager		

1066

Enquiries concerning this report should be addressed to Customer Services.